IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 7041 of 2005

Between:

Sri Karedla Janardhan Reddy, S/o. Narayan Reddy,
Electronics Corporation of India Ltd., (ECIL), Moulali, R.R.District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The General Manager, electronics Corporation of India ltd., (ECIL), Moulali, R.R.District.
2 The Secretary (PF), FAG, ECIL, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to Issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not releasing the retirement benefits of the petitioner(C.No.096715:APD) including Gratuity and Provident Fund as illegal, arbitrary and contrary to law and consequentially direct the respondents to release the retirement benefits of the petitioner including Gratuity and Provident Fund, and to pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.VENKATESWARLU POSANI

Counsel for the Respondents: MR.P.NAGESWAR SREE

The Court at the stage of admission made the following :

–

–

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

ORAL ORDER:

This writ petition is filed seeking a Mandamus to declare the action of the respondents in not releasing the retirement benefits of the petitioner including Gratuity and Provident Fund as illegal and arbitrary.

Heard the learned counsel for both the parties and perused the material on record.

The petitioner submitted a representation, dated 22.11.2004 to the respondents. The respondents have to take an appropriate decision for releasing the retirement benefits of the petitioner.

Therefore, without entering into the merits of the case, I consider it appropriate to direct the respondents to take an appropriate decision on the representation, dated 21.11.2004 made by the petitioner, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of. In the circumstances of the case, there shall be no order as to costs.

_____

(K.C.BHANU, J.)

1st April, 2005

bcj

To

1 The General Manager,

Electronics Corporation of India Limited (ECIL)

Moulali, Ranga Reddy District

2 The Secretary (PF),

FAG, ECIL, Hyderabad

Note: Furnish copy in two days

B/o

bcj